1	JOSEPH H. HUNT Assistant Attorney General				
2	DAVID L. ANDĚRSON				
3	United States Attorney MARCIA BERMAN				
4	Assistant Branch Director KAREN S. BLOOM				
5	Senior Counsel STUART J. ROBINSON, CA Bar No. 267183				
6	R. CHARLIE MERRITT Trial Attorneys				
7	U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division 450 Golden Gate Ave.				
8	San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 436-6635; Fax: (415) 436-6632				
9	Email: stuart.j.robinson@usdoj.gov				
10	Counsel for Defendants				
	IN THE UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT			
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12					
13	NATIONAL EDUCATION ASSOCIATION,)			
14	et al.,))) 05173 I B			
15	Plaintiffs,) Case No. 3:18-cv-05173-LB			
16) STIPULATION TO VACATE THE DEADLINE RELATED TO			
17	V.) THE DEADLINE RELATED TO) THE CASE MANAGEMENT			
18	BETSY DEVOS, in her official capacity as Secretary of Education, et al.,) STATEMENT AND CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE			
19	Secretary of Education, et al.,	PENDING RESOLUTION OF			
20	Defendants.) PARTIES' CROSS-MOTIONS) FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT			
21					
22					
23	Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Defendants Betsy DeVos, in her official				
24	capacity as Secretary of Education, and the Department of Education, and Plaintiffs National				
25	Education Association ("NEA"), California Teachers Association ("CTA"), Shane Heiman,				
26	Kwynn Uyehara, and Stephanie Portilla, hereby file this stipulated request to vacate the deadline				
27	related to the case management statement and the case management conference pending				
28					
-		10 05152 L D			
	National Education Association, et al. v. Devos, et al., Case No. 3: Stipulation	18-cv-U51/3-LB			

resolution of the parties' cross-motions for summary judgment. In support thereof, the parties state as follows.

- 1. Plaintiffs' Complaint challenges the Department's rule delaying the effective date of a 2016 regulation regarding state authorization. *See* Compl., ECF No. 1. Plaintiffs bring their action pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act. *Id.* ¶¶ 98-101.
- 2. Plaintiffs moved for summary judgment on October 23, 2018. ECF No. 20. On January 3, 2019, Defendants produced the administrative record. ECF No. 37. Defendants opposed Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment and cross-moved for summary judgment on February 4, 2019. ECF No. 41. Plaintiffs' consolidated reply to their motion for summary judgment and opposition to Defendants' cross-motion for summary judgment is due on or before February 25, 2019, and Defendants' reply to their motion for summary judgment is due on or before March 11, 2019. ECF No. 42. A hearing on the parties' cross-motions for summary judgment has been set for April 11, 2019. *Id*.
- 3. On February 4, 2019, the clerk issued a notice providing that the parties' case management statement is due by April 4, 2019, and that an initial case management conference has been set for April 11, 2019. *Id*.
- 4. The parties expect that this case will be resolved on the basis of the parties' crossmotions for summary judgment. *See, e.g., San Joaquin River Grp. Auth. v. Nat'l Marine Fisheries Serv.*, 819 F. Supp. 2d 1077, 1084 (E.D. Cal. 2011) ("[I]n a case involving review of a final agency action under the [APA] . . . summary judgment becomes the mechanism for deciding, as a matter of law, whether the agency action is supported by the administrative record and otherwise consistent with the APA standard of review.") (citation omitted). Accordingly, the parties believe that the preparation of a case management statement and a case management conference would not be an efficient use of the resources of the parties or the Court. The parties therefore request that the Court vacate the deadline related to the case management statement and the case management conference pending resolution of the parties' cross-motions for summary judgment.

1	5. This stipulation would not otherwise affect the schedule for this case, including		
2	the hearing on the parties' cross-motions for summary judgment that has been set for April 11,		
3	2019.		
4			
5	Dated: February 21, 2019	Respectfully submitted,	
6		JOSEPH H. HUNT	
7		Assistant Attorney General	
8		DAVID L. ANDERSON United States Attorney	
9		MARCIA BERMAN	
10		Assistant Branch Director	
11		/s/ Stuart J. Robinson	
12		STUART J. ROBINSON, CA Bar No. 267183 R. CHARLIE MERRITT	
13		Trial Attorneys	
14		KAREN S. BLOOM Senior Counsel	
15		United States Department of Justice	
16		Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 450 Golden Gate Ave.	
17		San Francisco, CA 94102 Tel: (415) 436-6635	
18		Fax: (415) 436-6632	
19		Email: stuart.j.robinson@usdoj.gov	
20		Counsel for Defendants	
21			
22		<u>/s/ Daniel A. Zibel</u> Daniel A. Zibel <i>pro hac vice</i>	
23		Martha U. Fulford <i>pro hac vice</i>	
24		National Student Legal Defense Network 1015 15th Street N.W., Suite 600	
25		Washington, D.C. 20005 martha@nsldn.org	
26		(202) 734-7495	
27		Counsel for Plaintiffs	
28		Laura P. Juran (Cal. Bar No. 199978)	
	National Education Association, et al. v. Devos, et al., Case No. 3:18-cv-05173-LB		

Brian Schmidt (Cal. Bar No. 265937) 1 California Teachers Association 1705 Murchison Drive 2 Burlingame, CA 94010 3 LJuran@cta.org BSchmidt@cta.org 4 (650) 552-5413 5 Counsel for Plaintiff California Teachers 6 Association 7 Eric Harrington (Cal. Bar No. 257178) National Education Association 8 1201 16th Street NW 9 Washington, D.C. 20036 eharrington@nea.org 10 (202) 822-7018 11 Counsel for Plaintiff National Education 12 Association 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

ATTORNEY ATTESTATION

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I, Stuart J. Robinson, hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from any signatories indicated by a "conformed" signature (/s/) within this e-filed document. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: February 21, 2019

By: <u>/s/ Stuart J. Robinson</u> STUART J. ROBINSON

[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION

2	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
3			
4	Dated: Honorable Laurel Beeler		
5	United States Magistrate Judge		
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			